Filed 05/06/2008 SDS a Se 1 of 2 Case 1:08-cv-03808-LAK Document 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Ramon Bernard, Plaintiff(s)

V.

08-cv-03808 (LAK)

JCJ Bakery Corporation and Charlie Nicosia, Defendant(s).

ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND

INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

KAPLAN, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby,

ORDERED as follows:

- Counsel receiving this order shall promptly mail copies hereof to all other counsel of record or, in the case of parties for which no appearance has been made, to such parties.
- Counsel for all parties are directed to confer regarding an agreed scheduling order. If counsel are able to agree on a schedule and the agreed schedule calls for filing of the pretrial order not more than six (6) months from the date of this order, counsel shall sign and email a PDF of the proposed schedule to the Orders and Judgment Clerk* within twenty-one (21) days from the date hereof by using the consent scheduling order form annexed for the Court's consideration. If such a consent order is not filed within the time provided, a conference will be held on 6/13/08 in courtroom 12 D, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York at 10:00am.
- Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by letter.
- Counsel should be aware that this case has been designated for Electronic Case Filing (ECF). It is the responsibility of counsel to become familiar with and follow ECF procedures. Information regarding the ECF system can be found on the Court's website at www.nysd.uscourts.gov.

Dated: May 6, 2008

Lewis A. Kaplan

United States District Judge

* Orders and Judgments@nysd.ucsourts.gov

| SOUT. | HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | Filed 05/06/2008 | Page 2 of 2 |
|---------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | n Bernard, Plaintiff(s) | | |
| | V. | | |
| | akery Corporation and Charlie Nicosia, Defendant(s). | 08-cv-03 | 3808 (LAK) |
| | Consent Scheduling | Order | |
| | Upon consent of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED as follows: | | |
| 1. | No additional parties may be joined after | . | |
| 2. | No amendments to the pleadings will be permitted a | after | |
| 3. | The parties shall make required Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures with respect to: | | |
| | (a) expert witnesses on or before; | | |
| | (b) rebuttal expert witnesses on or before | · | |
| 4. | All discovery, including any depositions of experts, | shall be completed on | or before |
| 5. | A joint pretrial order in the form prescribed in Judg before | e Kaplan's individual r | rules shall be filed on or |
| 6. | No motion for summary judgment shall be served a pretrial order. The filing of a motion for summary judgment of the pretrial order on time. | | |
| 7. | If any party claims a right to trial by jury, proposed be filed with the joint pretrial order. | voir dire questions and | d jury instructions shall |
| 8. | Each party or group of parties aligned in interest shatrial (a) a trial brief setting forth a summary of its coevidentiary problems anticipated at trial, and (b) any | ontentions and dealing | |
| 9. | This scheduling order may be altered or amended of foreseeable at the date hereof. Counsel should not a matter of routine. | | |
| Dated: | | | |
| Dates. | | | is A. Kaplan tates District Judge |